

Monotone Comparative Statics

Yingkai Li

EC5881 Semester 1, AY2024/25

Introduction

Understand the response of different economic agents to changes in the underlying environment or conditions.

- firm's investments in innovations in response to changes in market competitions;
- firm's production in response to changes in market demands or production costs;
- investor's portfolio selections in stock markets in response to income shocks.

Reference:

<https://sites.duke.edu/toddsarver/files/2021/07/Micro-Lecture-Notes.pdf>

Decision Environments

The agent maximizes a parametric objective function $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

- X : decision variable;
- T : parameters.

Decision Environments

The agent maximizes a parametric objective function $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

- X : decision variable;
- T : parameters.

For simplicity, assume $X, T \in \mathbb{R}$.

Decision Environments

The agent maximizes a parametric objective function $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

- X : decision variable;
- T : parameters.

For simplicity, assume $X, T \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example: In monopoly production problem:

- X : set of quantities the monopoly can produce;
- T : set of possible cost of production;
- f : revenue function based on the produced quantity and the production cost.

Decision Environments

Assume that X is **compact** and $f(x, t)$ is **continuous** in x given any $t \in T$.

- $\max_{x \in X} f(x, t)$ exists given any $t \in T$.

Decision Environments

Assume that X is **compact** and $f(x, t)$ is **continuous** in x given any $t \in T$.

- $\max_{x \in X} f(x, t)$ exists given any $t \in T$.

Denote the set of optimal choice given parameter $t \in T$ as

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in X} f(x, t).$$

$\mathbf{X}(t)$ is a correspondence, and we denote the optimal choice as $\mathbf{x}(t)$ if the optimal choice set is a singleton.

Decision Environments

Assume that X is **compact** and $f(x, t)$ is **continuous** in x given any $t \in T$.

- $\max_{x \in X} f(x, t)$ exists given any $t \in T$.

Denote the set of optimal choice given parameter $t \in T$ as

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in X} f(x, t).$$

$\mathbf{X}(t)$ is a correspondence, and we denote the optimal choice as $\mathbf{x}(t)$ if the optimal choice set is a singleton.

Comparative Statics: how does $\mathbf{X}(t)$ changes as a function of t .

Implicit Function Theorem

Assumption 1:

- f is twice continuously differentiable;
- f is strictly concave in x given any $t \in T$.

Implicit Function Theorem

Assumption 1:

- f is twice continuously differentiable;
- f is strictly concave in x given any $t \in T$.

The optimal choice is unique, and $\mathbf{x}(t)$ can be derived by first-order condition.

- assume in addition $\mathbf{x}(t)$ is in the interior of X .

Implicit Function Theorem

Assumption 1:

- f is twice continuously differentiable;
- f is strictly concave in x given any $t \in T$.

The optimal choice is unique, and $\mathbf{x}(t)$ can be derived by first-order condition.

- assume in addition $\mathbf{x}(t)$ is in the interior of X .

FOC:

$$f_x(\mathbf{x}(t), t) = 0.$$

Implicit Function Theorem

Theorem (Implicit Function Theorem)

Given Assumption 1, we have

$$\mathbf{x}'(t) = -\frac{f_{xt}(\mathbf{x}(t), t)}{f_{xx}(\mathbf{x}(t), t)}$$

Taking total derivative over t given the FOC:

$$f_{xx}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) \cdot \mathbf{x}'(t) + f_{xt}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) = 0.$$

Implicit Function Theorem

Theorem (Implicit Function Theorem)

Given Assumption 1, we have

$$\mathbf{x}'(t) = -\frac{f_{xt}(\mathbf{x}(t), t)}{f_{xx}(\mathbf{x}(t), t)}$$

Taking total derivative over t given the FOC:

$$f_{xx}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) \cdot \mathbf{x}'(t) + f_{xt}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) = 0.$$

Corollary

Given Assumption 1, we have $\mathbf{x}'(t) \geq 0$ if and only if $f_{xt}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) \geq 0$.

Proof: by the concavity assumption, $f_{xx}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) < 0$.

Applications: Optimal Production I

Consider a firm who can produce a quantity $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ to maximize profit

- $C(x, t)$: cost of producing quantity x ;
- $P(x)$: inverse demand function / market price given quantity x .

Applications: Optimal Production I

Consider a firm who can produce a quantity $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ to maximize profit

- $C(x, t)$: cost of producing quantity x ;
- $P(x)$: inverse demand function / market price given quantity x .

The firm's problem is

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^k} \pi(x, t) \triangleq x \cdot P(x) - C(x, t).$$

Applications: Optimal Production I

To apply the implicit function theorem, we need $\pi(x, t)$ is concave in x for all t .

$$\pi_{xx}(x, t) = P''(x) \cdot x + 2P'(x) - C_{xx}(x, t) \leq 0.$$

A sufficient condition is that

- ① $P''(x) \leq 0$;
- ② $P'(x) \leq 0$;
- ③ $C_{xx}(x, t) \geq 0$.

Applications: Optimal Production I

To apply the implicit function theorem, we need $\pi(x, t)$ is concave in x for all t .

$$\pi_{xx}(x, t) = P''(x) \cdot x + 2P'(x) - C_{xx}(x, t) \leq 0.$$

A sufficient condition is that

- 1 $P''(x) \leq 0$;
- 2 $P'(x) \leq 0$;
- 3 $C_{xx}(x, t) \geq 0$.

Note that $\pi_{xt}(x, t) = -C_{xt}(x, t)$.

⇒ By **implicit value theorem**, $\mathbf{x}'(t) \geq 0$ if and only if $\pi_{xt}(x, t) \geq 0$, or $C_{xt}(x, t) \leq 0$.

- with higher t , the marginal cost for production decreases, e.g., $C(x, t) = \frac{x}{t}$.

Applications: Optimal Production I

To apply the implicit function theorem, we need $\pi(x, t)$ is concave in x for all t .

$$\pi_{xx}(x, t) = P''(x) \cdot x + 2P'(x) - C_{xx}(x, t) \leq 0.$$

A sufficient condition is that

- ① $P''(x) \leq 0$;
- ② $P'(x) \leq 0$;
- ③ $C_{xx}(x, t) \geq 0$.

Note that $\pi_{xt}(x, t) = -C_{xt}(x, t)$.

⇒ By **implicit value theorem**, $\mathbf{x}'(t) \geq 0$ if and only if $\pi_{xt}(x, t) \geq 0$, or $C_{xt}(x, t) \leq 0$.

- with higher t , the marginal cost for production decreases, e.g., $C(x, t) = \frac{x}{t}$.

Question: are all the assumptions necessary for the comparative statics analysis? **NO!**

- especially $P''(x) \leq 0$ may not fit well with practical application.

Limitations

The implicit function theorem approach requires strong assumption on the objective f .

- twice continuously differentiable;
- concavity in x .

Limitations

The implicit function theorem approach requires strong assumption on the objective f .

- twice continuously differentiable;
- concavity in x .

Both are not necessary for understanding how $x(t)$ changes in response to t .

Limitations

The implicit function theorem approach requires strong assumption on the objective f .

- twice continuously differentiable;
- concavity in x .

Both are not necessary for understanding how $x(t)$ changes in response to t .

The intuition on the requirement that $f_{xt}(x, t) \geq 0$ is roughly correct.

- **increasing differences** in choices and parameters.

Comparing Choice Sets

Optimal choice $\mathbf{X}(t)$ is a correspondence.

Definition (Strong Set Order)

For any $Y, Z \subseteq X$, we say Y dominates Z in strong set order if for any $y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$, $\min\{y, z\} \in Z$ and $\max\{y, z\} \in Y$. We denote this as $Y \geq_s Z$.

Comparing Choice Sets

Optimal choice $\mathbf{X}(t)$ is a correspondence.

Definition (Strong Set Order)

For any $Y, Z \subseteq X$, we say Y dominates Z in strong set order if for any $y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$, $\min\{y, z\} \in Z$ and $\max\{y, z\} \in Y$. We denote this as $Y \geq_s Z$.

In the special case where Y and Z are **singletons**, i.e., $Y = \{y\}$ and $Z = \{z\}$, strong set order is **equivalent to $y \geq z$** .

- see general graphic illustration on board for examples of Y, Z that satisfy/violate the strong set order.

Monotone Comparative Statics

Definition (Increasing Differences)

A function $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has increasing differences in (x, t) if for any $x' > x$ and $t' > t$,

$$f(x', t') - f(x, t') \geq f(x', t) - f(x, t).$$

Monotone Comparative Statics

Definition (Increasing Differences)

A function $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has increasing differences in (x, t) if for any $x' > x$ and $t' > t$,

$$f(x', t') - f(x, t') \geq f(x', t) - f(x, t).$$

Increasing difference coincides with the definition that $f_{xt}(x, t) \geq 0$ when f is twice continuously differentiable.

- see general graphic illustration on board.

Monotone Comparative Statics

Theorem (Topkis '78)

If function $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has *increasing differences* in (x, t) , the optimal choice set $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in X} f(x, t)$ is monotone *non-decreasing* in t in strong set order. That is, for any $t' \geq t$,

$$\mathbf{X}(t') \geq_s \mathbf{X}(t).$$

Monotone Comparative Statics

Theorem (Topkis '78)

If function $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has *increasing differences* in (x, t) , the optimal choice set $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in X} f(x, t)$ is monotone *non-decreasing* in t in strong set order. That is, for any $t' \geq t$,

$$\mathbf{X}(t') \geq_s \mathbf{X}(t).$$

Proof.

For any $t' \geq t$, and any $x' \in \mathbf{X}(t'), x \in \mathbf{X}(t)$, if $x' \geq x$, the theorem holds.

Monotone Comparative Statics

Theorem (Topkis '78)

If function $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has *increasing differences* in (x, t) , the optimal choice set $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in X} f(x, t)$ is monotone *non-decreasing* in t in strong set order. That is, for any $t' \geq t$,

$$\mathbf{X}(t') \geq_s \mathbf{X}(t).$$

Proof.

For any $t' \geq t$, and any $x' \in \mathbf{X}(t')$, $x \in \mathbf{X}(t)$, if $x' \geq x$, the theorem holds.

If $x' < x$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq f(x, t) - f(x', t) && (x \in \mathbf{X}(t)) \\ &\leq f(x, t') - f(x', t') && \text{(increasing differences)} \\ &\leq 0 && (x' \in \mathbf{X}(t')) \end{aligned}$$

All equalities must hold with equality. □

Monotone Comparative Statics

Theorem (Topkis '78)

If function $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has *decreasing differences* in (x, t) , the optimal choice set $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in X} f(x, t)$ is monotone *non-increasing* in t in strong set order. That is, for any $t' \geq t$,

$$\mathbf{X}(t') \geq_s \mathbf{X}(t).$$

Similar argument as increasing differences.

Applications: Optimal Production I

Consider a firm who can produce a quantity $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ to maximize profit

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^k} \pi(x, t) \triangleq x \cdot P(x) - C(x, t).$$

Let $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^k} \pi(x, t)$.

Applications: Optimal Production I

Consider a firm who can produce a quantity $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ to maximize profit

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^k} \pi(x, t) \triangleq x \cdot P(x) - C(x, t).$$

Let $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^k} \pi(x, t)$.

By [Topkis '78], if $-C_{xt}(x, t) \leq 0, \forall x, t$, $\pi(x, t)$ has increasing differences in (x, t) ,

$$\mathbf{X}(t') \geq_s \mathbf{X}(t), \quad \forall t' \geq t.$$

Applications: Optimal Production I

Consider a firm who can produce a quantity $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ to maximize profit

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^k} \pi(x, t) \triangleq x \cdot P(x) - C(x, t).$$

Let $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^k} \pi(x, t)$.

By [Topkis '78], if $-C_{xt}(x, t) \leq 0, \forall x, t$, $\pi(x, t)$ has increasing differences in (x, t) ,

$$\mathbf{X}(t') \geq_s \mathbf{X}(t), \quad \forall t' \geq t.$$

If the marginal cost of production decreases, the optimal quantity produced weakly increases.

Applications: Optimal Production I

Consider a firm who can produce a quantity $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ to maximize profit

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^k} \pi(x, t) \triangleq x \cdot P(x) - C(x, t).$$

Let $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^k} \pi(x, t)$.

By [Topkis '78], if $-C_{xt}(x, t) \leq 0, \forall x, t$, $\pi(x, t)$ has increasing differences in (x, t) ,

$$\mathbf{X}(t') \geq_s \mathbf{X}(t), \quad \forall t' \geq t.$$

If the marginal cost of production decreases, the optimal quantity produced weakly increases.

- Indeed, we don't need π to be concave in x .

Applications: Optimal Production II

Consider a firm who can produce a quantity $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ of products using $z \in \mathbb{R}_+^k$ as inputs.

- $F : z \in \mathbb{R}_+^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$: production function;
- $p > 0$: price for the product;
- $w \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^k$: price vector for the inputs.

Applications: Optimal Production II

Consider a firm who can produce a quantity $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ of products using $z \in \mathbb{R}_+^k$ as inputs.

- $F : z \in \mathbb{R}_+^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$: production function;
- $p > 0$: price for the product;
- $w \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^k$: price vector for the inputs.

The firm's problem is

$$\max_{z \in \mathbb{R}_+^k} p \cdot F(z) - w \cdot z.$$

Applications: Optimal Production II

The firm's problem is equivalent to

$$\pi(x, p) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} p \cdot x - C(x).$$

$$\text{where } C(x) = \min \{ w \cdot z : F(z) \geq x \}.$$

Applications: Optimal Production II

The firm's problem is equivalent to

$$\pi(x, p) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} p \cdot x - C(x).$$

$$\text{where } C(x) = \min \{ w \cdot z : F(z) \geq x \}.$$

$\pi(x, p)$ satisfies increasing differences in $(x, p) \Rightarrow$ optimal production satisfies

$$\mathbf{X}(p') \geq_s \mathbf{X}(p), \quad \forall p' \geq p.$$

Applications: Optimal Production II

The firm's problem is equivalent to

$$\pi(x, p) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} p \cdot x - C(x).$$

$$\text{where } C(x) = \min \{ w \cdot z : F(z) \geq x \}.$$

$\pi(x, p)$ satisfies increasing differences in $(x, p) \Rightarrow$ optimal production satisfies

$$\mathbf{X}(p') \geq_s \mathbf{X}(p), \quad \forall p' \geq p.$$

If the price of the product increases, the optimal quantity produced weakly increases.

Applications: Monopoly Auction

A single item, a single agent.

- the agent has value $v \sim F$;
- the agent has utility $u = v \cdot x - p$.

Applications: Monopoly Auction

A single item, a single agent.

- the agent has value $v \sim F$;
- the agent has utility $u = v \cdot x - p$.

Lemma

Given any direct revelation mechanism, the interim allocation of the agent is non-decreasing in his value.

Recall that this can be proved by the Envelope Theorem [Milgrom and Segal '02].

Applications: Monopoly Auction

Taxation principle: the agent is offered a menu of allocation-payment pairs $\{m_i = (x_i, p_i)\}$.

Applications: Monopoly Auction

Taxation principle: the agent is offered a menu of allocation-payment pairs $\{m_i = (x_i, p_i)\}$.

The utility function $u(m, v)$ has increasing differences in m, v .

- the allocation-payment pairs are ordered according to the allocations.

Applications: Monopoly Auction

Taxation principle: the agent is offered a menu of allocation-payment pairs $\{m_i = (x_i, p_i)\}$.

The utility function $u(m, v)$ has increasing differences in m, v .

- the allocation-payment pairs are ordered according to the allocations.

Remark: monotone comparative statics in [Topkis '78] can be applied for $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where X is an ordered set.

Applications: Monopoly Auction

Taxation principle: the agent is offered a menu of allocation-payment pairs $\{m_i = (x_i, p_i)\}$.

The utility function $u(m, v)$ has increasing differences in m, v .

- the allocation-payment pairs are ordered according to the allocations.

Remark: monotone comparative statics in [Topkis '78] can be applied for $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where X is an ordered set.

By [Topkis '78], the optimal menu choice $m(v)$ is non-decreasing in v .

- the allocation is non-decreasing in v since higher menu choice represents higher allocations.

Applications: Monopoly Auction

Taxation principle: the agent is offered a menu of allocation-payment pairs $\{m_i = (x_i, p_i)\}$.

The utility function $u(m, v)$ has increasing differences in m, v .

- the allocation-payment pairs are ordered according to the allocations.

Remark: monotone comparative statics in [Topkis '78] can be applied for $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where X is an ordered set.

By [Topkis '78], the optimal menu choice $m(v)$ is non-decreasing in v .

- the allocation is non-decreasing in v since higher menu choice represents higher allocations.

Extension: non-linear utility: $u = f(v, x) - p$

- f is increasing in v and x ;
- f has increasing differences in (v, x) , e.g, $f(v, x) = e^{v \cdot x^2}$.

Applications: Signaling Games

Workers with type $\theta \in \Theta = \{\theta_0, \dots, \theta_n\}$.

- $\theta_0 < \dots < \theta_n$;
- q_θ : prior probability of type θ ;
- $C(e, \theta)$: cost of education/signal $e \geq 0$ given type θ ; increasing in e and decreasing in θ .

Applications: Signaling Games

Workers with type $\theta \in \Theta = \{\theta_0, \dots, \theta_n\}$.

- $\theta_0 < \dots < \theta_n$;
- q_θ : prior probability of type θ ;
- $C(e, \theta)$: cost of education/signal $e \geq 0$ given type θ ; increasing in e and decreasing in θ .

The workers face a competitive market and receive a wage equal to the posterior mean values of the workers' types.

Applications: Signaling Games

Workers with type $\theta \in \Theta = \{\theta_0, \dots, \theta_n\}$.

- $\theta_0 < \dots < \theta_n$;
- q_θ : prior probability of type θ ;
- $C(e, \theta)$: cost of education/signal $e \geq 0$ given type θ ; increasing in e and decreasing in θ .

The workers face a competitive market and receive a wage equal to the posterior mean values of the workers' types.

Theorem

If the cost of signaling $-C(e, \theta)$ has increasing differences in (e, θ) , the separating equilibrium exists.

Applications: Signaling Games

Workers with type $\theta \in \Theta = \{\theta_0, \dots, \theta_n\}$.

- $\theta_0 < \dots < \theta_n$;
- q_θ : prior probability of type θ ;
- $C(e, \theta)$: cost of education/signal $e \geq 0$ given type θ ; increasing in e and decreasing in θ .

The workers face a competitive market and receive a wage equal to the posterior mean values of the workers' types.

Theorem

If the cost of signaling $-C(e, \theta)$ has increasing differences in (e, θ) , the separating equilibrium exists.

Construction by induction: $e(\theta_0) = 0$,

$$\theta_{i-1} - C(e(\theta_{i-1}), \theta_{i-1}) = \theta_i - C(e(\theta_i), \theta_{i-1}), \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Applications: Signaling Games

Workers with type $\theta \in \Theta = \{\theta_0, \dots, \theta_n\}$.

- $\theta_0 < \dots < \theta_n$;
- q_θ : prior probability of type θ ;
- $C(e, \theta)$: cost of education/signal $e \geq 0$ given type θ ; increasing in e and decreasing in θ .

The workers face a competitive market and receive a wage equal to the posterior mean values of the workers' types.

Theorem

If the cost of signaling $-C(e, \theta)$ has increasing differences in (e, θ) , the separating equilibrium exists.

Construction by induction: $e(\theta_0) = 0$,

$$\theta_{i-1} - C(e(\theta_{i-1}), \theta_{i-1}) = \theta_i - C(e(\theta_i), \theta_{i-1}), \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Local IC implies global IC under increasing differences.

Monotone Transformation

There exist applications where f does not satisfy the increasing difference condition.

Monotone Transformation

There exist applications where f does not satisfy the increasing difference condition.

Idea: apply monotone transformation on f to create increasing difference while preserving the optimal solution.

- see graphical illustrations for adjusting f without affecting choice sets.

Monotone Transformation

There exist applications where f does not satisfy the increasing difference condition.

Idea: apply monotone transformation on f to create increasing difference while preserving the optimal solution.

- see graphical illustrations for adjusting f without affecting choice sets.

Let $g : \mathbb{R} \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function that is strictly increasing in its first argument for all $t \in T$.

$$\mathbf{X}(t) \triangleq \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in X} f(x, t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in X} g(f(x, t), t), \quad \forall t \in T.$$

If $g(f(x, t), t)$ has increasing differences in (x, t) , $\mathbf{X}(t)$ is non-decreasing in t in strong set order.

Applications: Market Size

Consider a market with inverse demand function $P(q)$.

- $P(q)$ is decreasing in q .

Applications: Market Size

Consider a market with inverse demand function $P(q)$.

- $P(q)$ is decreasing in q .

Letting $N > 0$ be the market size, the firm's problem is

$$\max_{q \geq 0} \pi(q, N) = \max_{q \geq 0} Nq \cdot P(q) - C(Nq)$$

where $C(Nq)$ is the cost of producing quantity Nq .

Applications: Market Size

Consider a market with inverse demand function $P(q)$.

- $P(q)$ is decreasing in q .

Letting $N > 0$ be the market size, the firm's problem is

$$\max_{q \geq 0} \pi(q, N) = \max_{q \geq 0} Nq \cdot P(q) - C(Nq)$$

where $C(Nq)$ is the cost of producing quantity Nq .

Question: how optimal q or $P(q)$ changes with respect to N .

- $\pi(q, N)$ does not have increasing differences in (q, N) in general (without strong assumptions on $P(q)$).

Applications: Market Size

Let $g(\pi(q, N), N) = \frac{\pi(q, N)}{N}$.

$$\max_{q \geq 0} \pi(q, N) = \max_{q \geq 0} g(\pi(q, N), N) = \max_{q \geq 0} q \cdot P(q) - \frac{C(Nq)}{N}.$$

Applications: Market Size

Let $g(\pi(q, N), N) = \frac{\pi(q, N)}{N}$.

$$\max_{q \geq 0} \pi(q, N) = \max_{q \geq 0} g(\pi(q, N), N) = \max_{q \geq 0} q \cdot P(q) - \frac{C(Nq)}{N}.$$

Assuming C is twice continuously differentiable,

$$g_{qN}(\pi(q, N), N) = -q \cdot C''(Nq).$$

Applications: Market Size

If $C(Nq)$ is **concave**, $-q \cdot C''(Nq) \geq 0$:

$\Rightarrow g(q, N)$ has increasing differences in (q, N) ;

Applications: Market Size

If $C(Nq)$ is **concave**, $-q \cdot C''(Nq) \geq 0$:

$\Rightarrow g(q, N)$ has increasing differences in (q, N) ;

$\Rightarrow q^*(N)$ is weakly increasing in N ;

Applications: Market Size

If $C(Nq)$ is **concave**, $-q \cdot C''(Nq) \geq 0$:

$\Rightarrow g(q, N)$ has increasing differences in (q, N) ;

$\Rightarrow q^*(N)$ is weakly increasing in N ;

$\Rightarrow P(q^*(N))$ is weakly decreasing in N .

Applications: Market Size

If $C(Nq)$ is **concave**, $-q \cdot C''(Nq) \geq 0$:

$\Rightarrow g(q, N)$ has increasing differences in (q, N) ;

$\Rightarrow q^*(N)$ is weakly increasing in N ;

$\Rightarrow P(q^*(N))$ is weakly decreasing in N .

Larger market (\Rightarrow lower marginal cost of production) \Rightarrow larger quantity produced \Rightarrow lower market price.

Applications: Market Size

If $C(Nq)$ is **concave**, $-q \cdot C''(Nq) \geq 0$:

$\Rightarrow g(q, N)$ has increasing differences in (q, N) ;

$\Rightarrow q^*(N)$ is weakly increasing in N ;

$\Rightarrow P(q^*(N))$ is weakly decreasing in N .

Larger market (\Rightarrow lower marginal cost of production) \Rightarrow larger quantity produced \Rightarrow lower market price.

If $C(Nq)$ is **convex**, $-q \cdot C''(Nq) \leq 0$:

$\Rightarrow g(q, N)$ has decreasing differences in (q, N) ;

Applications: Market Size

If $C(Nq)$ is **concave**, $-q \cdot C''(Nq) \geq 0$:

$\Rightarrow g(q, N)$ has increasing differences in (q, N) ;

$\Rightarrow q^*(N)$ is weakly increasing in N ;

$\Rightarrow P(q^*(N))$ is weakly decreasing in N .

Larger market (\Rightarrow lower marginal cost of production) \Rightarrow larger quantity produced \Rightarrow lower market price.

If $C(Nq)$ is **convex**, $-q \cdot C''(Nq) \leq 0$:

$\Rightarrow g(q, N)$ has decreasing differences in (q, N) ;

$\Rightarrow q^*(N)$ is weakly decreasing in N ;

Applications: Market Size

If $C(Nq)$ is **concave**, $-q \cdot C''(Nq) \geq 0$:

$\Rightarrow g(q, N)$ has increasing differences in (q, N) ;

$\Rightarrow q^*(N)$ is weakly increasing in N ;

$\Rightarrow P(q^*(N))$ is weakly decreasing in N .

Larger market (\Rightarrow lower marginal cost of production) \Rightarrow larger quantity produced \Rightarrow lower market price.

If $C(Nq)$ is **convex**, $-q \cdot C''(Nq) \leq 0$:

$\Rightarrow g(q, N)$ has decreasing differences in (q, N) ;

$\Rightarrow q^*(N)$ is weakly decreasing in N ;

$\Rightarrow P(q^*(N))$ is weakly increasing in N .

Applications: Market Size

If $C(Nq)$ is **concave**, $-q \cdot C''(Nq) \geq 0$:

$\Rightarrow g(q, N)$ has increasing differences in (q, N) ;

$\Rightarrow q^*(N)$ is weakly increasing in N ;

$\Rightarrow P(q^*(N))$ is weakly decreasing in N .

Larger market (\Rightarrow lower marginal cost of production) \Rightarrow larger quantity produced \Rightarrow lower market price.

If $C(Nq)$ is **convex**, $-q \cdot C''(Nq) \leq 0$:

$\Rightarrow g(q, N)$ has decreasing differences in (q, N) ;

$\Rightarrow q^*(N)$ is weakly decreasing in N ;

$\Rightarrow P(q^*(N))$ is weakly increasing in N .

Larger market (\Rightarrow higher marginal cost of production) \Rightarrow smaller quantity produced \Rightarrow higher market price.

Necessity of Assumptions

By [Topkis '78], differentiability or concavity of f is not necessary for comparative statics.

Necessity of Assumptions

By [Topkis '78], differentiability or concavity of f is not necessary for comparative statics.

Crucial assumption: increasing differences.

Necessity of Assumptions

By [Topkis '78], differentiability or concavity of f is not necessary for comparative statics.

Crucial assumption: increasing differences.

Question: is increasing differences necessary for comparative statics?

Necessity of Assumptions

By [Topkis '78], differentiability or concavity of f is not necessary for comparative statics.

Crucial assumption: increasing differences.

Question: is increasing differences necessary for comparative statics? **Not always.**

- recall the trick of monotone transformation.

Necessity of Assumptions

By [Topkis '78], differentiability or concavity of f is not necessary for comparative statics.

Crucial assumption: increasing differences.

Question: is increasing differences necessary for comparative statics? **Not always.**

- recall the trick of monotone transformation.

The cardinal values of f is not always important for comparative statics.

Single Crossing

A ordinal version for comparative statics:

Definition

A function $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the single crossing property in (x, t) if for any $x' > x$ and $t' > t$,

$$f(x', t) - f(x, t) \geq 0 \Rightarrow f(x', t') - f(x, t') \geq 0;$$

$$f(x', t) - f(x, t) > 0 \Rightarrow f(x', t') - f(x, t') > 0.$$

- The single crossing property is a property based on the ordinal preference.
- $\forall x' > x$, $g(t) \triangleq f(x', t) - f(x, t)$ cross 0 from below by at most once.

Single Crossing

A ordinal version for comparative statics:

Definition

A function $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the single crossing property in (x, t) if for any $x' > x$ and $t' > t$,

$$f(x', t) - f(x, t) \geq 0 \Rightarrow f(x', t') - f(x, t') \geq 0;$$

$$f(x', t) - f(x, t) > 0 \Rightarrow f(x', t') - f(x, t') > 0.$$

- The single crossing property is a property based on the ordinal preference.
- $\forall x' > x$, $g(t) \triangleq f(x', t) - f(x, t)$ cross 0 from below by at most once.

Remark: f has increasing differences in $(x, t) \Rightarrow f$ has the single crossing property in (x, t) .

Monotone Comparative Statics

Theorem (Milgrom and Shannon '94)

If function $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the single crossing property in (x, t) , the optimal choice set $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in X} f(x, t)$ is monotone non-decreasing in t in strong set order. That is, for any $t' \geq t$,

$$\mathbf{X}(t') \geq_s \mathbf{X}(t).$$

Same argument as in [Topkis '78].

Necessity of Single Crossing

Theorem

Suppose $X, T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The optimal choice set $\mathbf{X}_S(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in S} f(x, t)$ is monotone non-decreasing in t in strong set order for any $S \subseteq X$ if and only if f has the single crossing property in (x, t) .

If direction: [Milgrom and Shannon '94].

Necessity of Single Crossing

Theorem

Suppose $X, T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The optimal choice set $\mathbf{X}_S(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in S} f(x, t)$ is monotone non-decreasing in t in strong set order for any $S \subseteq X$ if and only if f has the single crossing property in (x, t) .

If direction: [Milgrom and Shannon '94].

Only if direction: prove by contradiction.

(partial proof): there exists $x' > x, t' > t$ such that

$$f(x', t) - f(x, t) \geq 0 \text{ and } f(x', t') - f(x, t') < 0.$$

Restrict attention to $S = \{x, x'\}$.

- $x' \in \mathbf{X}(t)$;
- $x' \notin \mathbf{X}(t')$.

Necessity of Increasing Differences

Optimal production: A firm can generate a revenue of $f(x, t)$ by acquiring an input of $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. If the price of the input is p , the profit of the firm given input x is

$$g(x, t; p) = f(x, t) - px.$$

Necessity of Increasing Differences

Optimal production: A firm can generate a revenue of $f(x, t)$ by acquiring an input of $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. If the price of the input is p , the profit of the firm given input x is

$$g(x, t; p) = f(x, t) - px.$$

$f(x, t)$ has the single crossing property in (x, t)

$\not\Rightarrow g(x, t; p)$ has the single crossing property in (x, t) .

Necessity of Increasing Differences

Optimal production: A firm can generate a revenue of $f(x, t)$ by acquiring an input of $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. If the price of the input is p , the profit of the firm given input x is

$$g(x, t; p) = f(x, t) - px.$$

$f(x, t)$ has the single crossing property in (x, t)

$\not\Rightarrow g(x, t; p)$ has the single crossing property in (x, t) .

Theorem

Suppose $X, T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $f(x, t) - px$ has the single crossing property in (x, t) for all $p \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if f has increasing differences in (x, t) .

Necessity of Increasing Differences

If direction:

$f(x, t)$ has increasing differences in (x, t)

$\Rightarrow f(x, t) - px$ has increasing differences in (x, t)

$\Rightarrow f(x, t) - px$ has the single crossing property in (x, t) .

Necessity of Increasing Differences

If direction:

$f(x, t)$ has increasing differences in (x, t)

$\Rightarrow f(x, t) - px$ has increasing differences in (x, t)

$\Rightarrow f(x, t) - px$ has the single crossing property in (x, t) .

Only if direction: prove by contradiction.

Necessity of Increasing Differences

If direction:

$f(x, t)$ has increasing differences in (x, t)

$\Rightarrow f(x, t) - px$ has increasing differences in (x, t)

$\Rightarrow f(x, t) - px$ has the single crossing property in (x, t) .

Only if direction: prove by contradiction.

There exists $x' > x, t' > t$ such that

$$f(x', t') - f(x, t') < f(x', t) - f(x, t).$$

Necessity of Increasing Differences

If direction:

$f(x, t)$ has increasing differences in (x, t)

$\Rightarrow f(x, t) - px$ has increasing differences in (x, t)

$\Rightarrow f(x, t) - px$ has the single crossing property in (x, t) .

Only if direction: prove by contradiction.

There exists $x' > x, t' > t$ such that

$$f(x', t') - f(x, t') < f(x', t) - f(x, t).$$

Let $p > 0$ be a real number such that

$$f(x', t') - f(x, t') < p(x' - x) < f(x', t) - f(x, t).$$

By rearranging the terms, the single crossing property is violated.

Multivariate Comparative Statics

The agent maximizes a parametric objective function $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

- $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice.

Multivariate Comparative Statics

The agent maximizes a parametric objective function $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

- $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice.

For any $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

- the **meet** of x and x' is $x \wedge x' \triangleq (\min \{x_1, x'_1\}, \dots, \min \{x_n, x'_n\})$;
- the **join** of x and x' is $x \vee x' \triangleq (\max \{x_1, x'_1\}, \dots, \max \{x_n, x'_n\})$;

Multivariate Comparative Statics

The agent maximizes a parametric objective function $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

- $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice.

For any $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

- the **meet** of x and x' is $x \wedge x' \triangleq (\min \{x_1, x'_1\}, \dots, \min \{x_n, x'_n\})$;
- the **join** of x and x' is $x \vee x' \triangleq (\max \{x_1, x'_1\}, \dots, \max \{x_n, x'_n\})$;

Definition (Lattice)

$X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice if for any $x, x' \in X$, both $x \wedge x'$ and $x \vee x'$ are in X .

Comparing Choice Sets

Comparing choices: for any $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$

- $x \geq x' : x_i \geq x'_i$ for all i ;
- $x < x' : x_i \geq x'_i$ for all i and $x \neq x'$.

Comparing Choice Sets

Comparing choices: for any $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$

- $x \geq x' : x_i \geq x'_i$ for all i ;
- $x < x' : x_i \geq x'_i$ for all i and $x \neq x'$.

Note that it is possible that neither $x \geq x'$ nor $x' \geq x$ is true.

- X is a partially ordered set.

Comparing Choice Sets

Comparing choices: for any $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$

- $x \geq x' : x_i \geq x'_i$ for all i ;
- $x < x' : x_i \geq x'_i$ for all i and $x \neq x'$.

Note that it is possible that neither $x \geq x'$ nor $x' \geq x$ is true.

- X is a partially ordered set.

Definition (Strong Set Order)

For any $Y, Z \subseteq X$, we say Y dominates Z in strong set order if for any $y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$, $y \wedge z \in Z$ and $y \vee z \in Y$. We denote this as $Y \succeq_s Z$.

In the special case where $n = 1$, the reduces to the previous definition of strong set order.

Single Crossing

Definition

Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice and $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. A function $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the single crossing property in (x, t) if for any $x' > x$ and $t' > t$,

$$f(x', t) - f(x, t) \geq 0 \Rightarrow f(x', t') - f(x, t') \geq 0;$$

$$f(x', t) - f(x, t) > 0 \Rightarrow f(x', t') - f(x, t') > 0.$$

In the special case where $n = 1$, this reduces to the previous definition.

Quasisupermodularity

In multivariate comparative statics, the single crossing property is not sufficient for guaranteeing the strong set order in optimal choices.

- **Intuition:** When different coordinates of choices are **substitutes**, increasing the parameter may cause the choice variable to increase in one coordinate while decreasing in the other.
- Counterexample: exercise.

Quasisupermodularity

In multivariate comparative statics, the single crossing property is not sufficient for guaranteeing the strong set order in optimal choices.

- **Intuition:** When different coordinates of choices are **substitutes**, increasing the parameter may cause the choice variable to increase in one coordinate while decreasing in the other.
- Counterexample: exercise.

Definition (Quasisupermodularity)

Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice and $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. A function $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is quasisupermodular in x if for any $x, x' \in X$ and $t \in T$,

$$f(x, t) \geq f(x \wedge x', t) \Rightarrow f(x \vee x', t) \geq f(x', t)$$

$$f(x, t) > f(x \wedge x', t) \Rightarrow f(x \vee x', t) > f(x', t)$$

Quasisupermodularity

In multivariate comparative statics, the single crossing property is not sufficient for guaranteeing the strong set order in optimal choices.

- **Intuition:** When different coordinates of choices are **substitutes**, increasing the parameter may cause the choice variable to increase in one coordinate while decreasing in the other.
- Counterexample: exercise.

Definition (Quasisupermodularity)

Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice and $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. A function $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is quasisupermodular in x if for any $x, x' \in X$ and $t \in T$,

$$f(x, t) \geq f(x \wedge x', t) \Rightarrow f(x \vee x', t) \geq f(x', t)$$

$$f(x, t) > f(x \wedge x', t) \Rightarrow f(x \vee x', t) > f(x', t)$$

This definition is vacuous when $n = 1$.

- intuitively, it implies that the choices in different coordinates are **complements**;
- implied by supermodularity: $f(x \wedge x', t) + f(x \vee x', t) \geq f(x, t) + f(x', t)$.

Quasisupermodularity

Proposition

Suppose $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If f is quasisupermodular in (x, y) , f has the single crossing property in (x, y) .

For any $x' > x$ and $y' > y$, we have

$$f(x', y) \geq f(x, y) \Rightarrow f(x', y') \geq f(x, y'). \quad (\text{quasisupermodularity})$$

Remark: $(x', y) \wedge (x, y') = (x, y)$ and $(x', y) \vee (x, y') = (x', y')$.

Quasisupermodularity

Proposition

Suppose $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If f is quasisupermodular in (x, y) , f has the single crossing property in (x, y) .

For any $x' > x$ and $y' > y$, we have

$$f(x', y) \geq f(x, y) \Rightarrow f(x', y') \geq f(x, y'). \quad (\text{quasisupermodularity})$$

Remark: $(x', y) \wedge (x, y') = (x, y)$ and $(x', y) \vee (x, y') = (x', y')$.

This is identical to the condition in single crossing properties.

Quasisupermodularity

Proposition

Suppose $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If f is quasisupermodular in (x, y) , f has the single crossing property in (x, y) .

For any $x' > x$ and $y' > y$, we have

$$f(x', y) \geq f(x, y) \Rightarrow f(x', y') \geq f(x, y'). \quad (\text{quasisupermodularity})$$

Remark: $(x', y) \wedge (x, y') = (x, y)$ and $(x', y) \vee (x, y') = (x', y')$.

This is identical to the condition in single crossing properties.

Same argument applies if the inequalities are strict.

Monotone Comparative Statics

Theorem (Milgrom and Shannon '94)

Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice and $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. If function $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is quasisupermodular in x and has the single crossing property in (x, t) , the optimal choice set $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in X} f(x, t)$ is monotone non-decreasing in t in strong set order.

Monotone Comparative Statics

Theorem (Milgrom and Shannon '94)

Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice and $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. If function $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is quasisupermodular in x and has the single crossing property in (x, t) , the optimal choice set $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in X} f(x, t)$ is monotone non-decreasing in t in strong set order.

Given any $t' \geq t$, $x \in \mathbf{X}(t)$ and $x' \in \mathbf{X}(t')$, if $x' > x$ or $x > x'$, same argument as in [Topkis '78] applies.

- In particular, quasisupermodularity in x is not required.

Monotone Comparative Statics

Theorem (Milgrom and Shannon '94)

Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice and $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. If function $f : X \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is quasisupermodular in x and has the single crossing property in (x, t) , the optimal choice set $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in X} f(x, t)$ is monotone non-decreasing in t in strong set order.

Given any $t' \geq t$, $x \in \mathbf{X}(t)$ and $x' \in \mathbf{X}(t')$, if $x' > x$ or $x > x'$, same argument as in [Topkis '78] applies.

- In particular, quasisupermodularity in x is not required.

Challenges: x and x' are not ordered

- idea: use quasisupermodularity for establishing connections on ordered pairs in X .

Monotone Comparative Statics

Given any $t' \geq t$, $x \in \mathbf{X}(t)$ and $x' \in \mathbf{X}(t')$,

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x, t) \geq f(x \wedge x', t) && (x \in \mathbf{X}(t)) \\ \Rightarrow & f(x \vee x', t) \geq f(x', t) && \text{(Quasisupermodularity)} \\ \Rightarrow & f(x \vee x', t') \geq f(x', t') && \text{(Single crossing)} \\ \Rightarrow & x \vee x' \in \mathbf{X}(t'). \end{aligned}$$

Monotone Comparative Statics

Given any $t' \geq t$, $x \in \mathbf{X}(t)$ and $x' \in \mathbf{X}(t')$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, t) &\geq f(x \wedge x', t) && (x \in \mathbf{X}(t)) \\ \Rightarrow f(x \vee x', t) &\geq f(x', t) && \text{(Quasisupermodularity)} \\ \Rightarrow f(x \vee x', t') &\geq f(x', t') && \text{(Single crossing)} \\ \Rightarrow x \vee x' &\in \mathbf{X}(t'). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose by contradiction that $x \wedge x' \notin \mathbf{X}(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, t) &> f(x \wedge x', t) && (x \wedge x' \notin \mathbf{X}(t)) \\ \Rightarrow f(x \vee x', t) &> f(x', t) && \text{(Quasisupermodularity)} \\ \Rightarrow f(x \vee x', t') &> f(x', t') && \text{(Single crossing)} \\ \Rightarrow x' &\notin \mathbf{X}(t'). \end{aligned}$$

Applications: Le Chatelier Principle

Long run choices are often more elastic than short run ones.

Applications: Le Chatelier Principle

Long run choices are often more elastic than short run ones.

The agent maximizes a function $f : X \times Y \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

- choice variables: $x \in X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$;
- parameter $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Applications: Le Chatelier Principle

Long run choices are often more elastic than short run ones.

The agent maximizes a function $f : X \times Y \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

- choice variables: $x \in X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$;
- parameter $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\mathbf{x}^s(y, t)$ be the short-run optimal choice of x fixing y and t .

Let $(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{y}(t))$ be the long-run optimal choice of x, y fixing t .

- break tie by taking the maximum.

Applications: Le Chatelier Principle

Long run choices are often more elastic than short run ones.

The agent maximizes a function $f : X \times Y \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

- choice variables: $x \in X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$;
- parameter $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\mathbf{x}^s(y, t)$ be the short-run optimal choice of x fixing y and t .

Let $(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{y}(t))$ be the long-run optimal choice of x, y fixing t .

- break tie by taking the maximum.

Application: x is labor, y is capital, t is the parameter relates to the price of labor.

- in the short run, when the price of labor is changed, the adjustments in capital may not take in effect immediately, and the choice of labor is optimized given the previously optimal capital.

Applications: Le Chatelier Principle

Theorem (Milgrom and Roberts '96)

Suppose $X, Y, T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ are compact and $f : X \times Y \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If f is continuous and quasisupermodular in (x, y) and has the single crossing property in (x, y, t) , for any $t' \geq t$,

$$\mathbf{x}(t) \leq \mathbf{x}^s(\mathbf{y}(t), t') \leq \mathbf{x}(t'), \quad (t \rightarrow t')$$

$$\mathbf{x}(t) \leq \mathbf{x}^s(\mathbf{y}(t'), t) \leq \mathbf{x}(t'). \quad (t' \rightarrow t)$$

Note: $\mathbf{y}(t') \geq \mathbf{y}(t)$ for $t' \geq t$ by [Milgrom and Shannon '94].

Applications: Le Chatelier Principle

Theorem (Milgrom and Roberts '96)

Suppose $X, Y, T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ are compact and $f : X \times Y \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If f is continuous and quasisupermodular in (x, y) and has the single crossing property in (x, y, t) , for any $t' \geq t$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(t) &\leq \mathbf{x}^s(\mathbf{y}(t), t') \leq \mathbf{x}(t'), & (t \rightarrow t') \\ \mathbf{x}(t) &\leq \mathbf{x}^s(\mathbf{y}(t'), t) \leq \mathbf{x}(t'). & (t' \rightarrow t) \end{aligned}$$

Note: $\mathbf{y}(t') \geq \mathbf{y}(t)$ for $t' \geq t$ by [Milgrom and Shannon '94].

The optimal choice of labor respond more to the change of parameter t in the long run.